A new expression for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a class of matrices

Xuefeng Xu

LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing,
Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.
E-mail address: xuxuefeng@lsec.cc.ac.cn

arXiv:1612.01124v1 [math.NA] 4 Dec 2016

Abstract

An expression for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a matrix of the form $M = XNY$, where X and Y are nonsingular, has been recently established by Castro-González et al. [1, Theorem 2.2]. The expression plays an essential role in developing explicit expressions for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a two-by-two block matrix. In this paper, we present a new expression for the Moore–Penrose inverse of this class of matrices, which improves the result in [1].

Keywords: Moore–Penrose inverse; Matrix product; Orthogonal projector

1. Introduction

We first introduce some notations and concepts which are frequently used in the subsequent content. Let $\mathbb{N}^+$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of all positive integers and the field of complex numbers, respectively. Let $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ complex matrices. The identity matrix of order n is denoted by I_n or I when its size is clear in the context. For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$, A^* denotes the conjugate transpose of A. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A)$ the range and null space of A, respectively, namely, $\mathcal{R}(A) := \{y \in \mathbb{C}^m : y = Ax, x \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : Ax = 0\}$. The *Moore–Penrose inverse* of A is denoted by $A^\dagger$, which is defined as the unique matrix $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times m}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$\text{(a) } AZA = A, \quad \text{(b) } ZAZ = Z, \quad \text{(c) } (AZ)^* = AZ, \quad \text{(d) } (ZA)^* = ZA.$$

The symbols $E_A := I - AA^\dagger$ and $F_A := I - A^\dagger A$ stand for the orthogonal projectors onto $\mathcal{N}(A^*)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A)$, respectively. A matrix $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times m}$ is called an *inner inverse* of A if it satisfies the equality (a).

For a matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ which can be decomposed as $M = XNY$, where $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ are nonsingular, the equality $M^\dagger = Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}$ may fail. Several conditions validating $M^\dagger = Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}$ are presented in [2]. Recently, Castro-González et al. [1] obtained an explicit expression for $M^\dagger$, provided that $XE_N = E_N$ and $F_N Y = F_N$. More concretely, it is proved by Castro-González et al. [1, Theorem 2.2] that

$$M^\dagger = (I + L_0^*)(I + L_0L_0^*)^{-1}Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}(I + R_0^*R_0)^{-1}(I + R_0^*), \tag{1.1}$$

where $R_0 := E_N(I - X^{-1})$ and $L_0 := (I - Y^{-1})F_N$. The expression (1.1) is a crucial result in [1], which can be exploited to establish explicit expressions for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a two-by-two block matrix.

Assume that the singular value decomposition (SVD) of $N \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ is $N = U\begin{pmatrix}\Sigma & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}V^*$, where $\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$ is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries, r is the rank of N, and both $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ are unitary. Let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$. We now give two assumptions $\mathbf{A}_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{A}_1 :\ X = U\begin{pmatrix}X_1 & 0\\ X_2 & X_4\end{pmatrix}U^*,$$

where $X_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$, $X_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-r)\times r}$, and $X_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-r)\times(m-r)}$;

$$\mathbf{A}_2 :\ Y = V\begin{pmatrix}Y_1 & Y_3\\ 0 & Y_4\end{pmatrix}V^*,$$

where $Y_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$, $Y_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times(n-r)}$, and $Y_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-r)\times(n-r)}$.

In this paper, we further investigate explicit expressions for the Moore–Penrose inverse of this class of matrices. A new expression under weakened conditions for $M^\dagger$ is derived, which has enhanced the expression (1.1). More specifically, if the assumptions $\mathbf{A}_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$ are satisfied, then we have

$$M^\dagger = (I + L^*)(I + LL^*)^{-1}N^\dagger N(Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1})NN^\dagger(I + R^*R)^{-1}(I + R^*), \tag{1.2}$$

where $R := XE_NX^{-1}(E_N - I)$ and $L := (F_N - I)Y^{-1}F_NY$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first introduce a useful lemma which gives an explicit expression for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a two-by-two block matrix, and then give some specific conditions to validate $\mathbf{A}_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$. In Section 3, we present a new and improved expression (i.e., (1.2)) for $M^\dagger$ based on the assumptions $\mathbf{A}_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce a useful lemma, which provides an explicit expression for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a two-by-two block matrix; see [3]. It is worth mentioning that some improved results of this lemma can be found in [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a two-by-two block matrix as the form $M = \begin{pmatrix}A & C\\ B & D\end{pmatrix}$. Assume that $\mathcal{R}(B^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^*)$, $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, and $D - BA^\dagger C = 0$. Then $M^\dagger$ can be given by*

$$M^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix}I\\ (A^\dagger C)^*\end{pmatrix}\Psi A^\dagger\Phi\begin{pmatrix}I & (BA^\dagger)^*\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Phi = \left(I + (BA^\dagger)^*BA^\dagger\right)^{-1}$ and $\Psi = \left(I + A^\dagger C(A^\dagger C)^*\right)^{-1}$.

Next, we give several specific conditions to guarantee the assumptions $\mathbf{A}_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ have the singular value decomposition $N = U\begin{pmatrix}\Sigma & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}V^*$, where $\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$ is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries, r is the rank of N, and both $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ are unitary. Let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be an arbitrary matrix. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

$\mathbf{C}_1$: *NN^*X is normal*;

$\mathbf{C}_2$: *For any $0 \neq c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $(NN^*X)^{k_1} = c_1NN^\dagger$*;

$\mathbf{C}_3$: *For any $0 \neq c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $(NN^*X)^{k_2} = c_2(NN^*)^\ell$*;

$\mathbf{C}_4$: *XE_N is normal*;

$\mathbf{C}_5$: *For any $0 \neq c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $k_3 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $(XE_N)^{k_3} = c_3E_N$*;

$\mathbf{C}_6$: $NN^\dagger XE_N = 0$;

$\mathbf{C}_7$: *There exists $k_4 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $(NN^*)^{k_4}XE_N = 0$.*

Then X must be of the form

$$X = U\begin{pmatrix}X_1 & 0\\ X_2 & X_4\end{pmatrix}U^*,$$

where $X_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$, $X_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-r)\times r}$, and $X_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-r)\times(m-r)}$.

Proof. Based on the SVD of N, the expressions of $N^\dagger$ and E_N can be given by

$$N^\dagger = V\begin{pmatrix}\Sigma^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}U^* \quad \text{and} \quad E_N = U\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & I\end{pmatrix}U^*.$$

Partition U^*XU as $U^*XU = \begin{pmatrix}X_1 & X_3\\ X_2 & X_4\end{pmatrix}$, where $X_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$, $X_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-r)\times r}$, $X_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times(m-r)}$, and $X_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-r)\times(m-r)}$. Then $X = U\begin{pmatrix}X_1 & X_3\\ X_2 & X_4\end{pmatrix}U^*$.

(i) The condition $\mathbf{C}_1$ states that

$$NN^*X = U\begin{pmatrix}\Sigma^2X_1 & \Sigma^2X_3\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}U^*$$

is normal, which yields that Σ^2X_1 is normal and $\Sigma^2X_3 = 0$. It follows from the non-singularity of Σ that $X_3 = 0$.

(ii) We have known that $NN^*X = U\begin{pmatrix}\Sigma^2X_1 & \Sigma^2X_3\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}U^*$. Then, for any $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we have

$$(NN^*X)^{k_1} = U\begin{pmatrix}(\Sigma^2X_1)^{k_1} & (\Sigma^2X_1)^{k_1-1}\Sigma^2X_3\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}U^*.$$

In addition, it is easy to see that

$$c_1 NN^\dagger = U \begin{pmatrix} c_1 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{C}_2$ implies that $(\Sigma^2 X_1)^{k_1} = c_1 I$ and $(\Sigma^2 X_1)^{k_1 - 1}\Sigma^2 X_3 = 0$. Due to the facts that $c_1 \neq 0$ and Σ is nonsingular, it follows that X_1 is nonsingular and $X_3 = 0$.

(iii) Direct calculation yields

$$(NN^*X)^{k_2} = U \begin{pmatrix} (\Sigma^2 X_1)^{k_2} & (\Sigma^2 X_1)^{k_2 - 1}\Sigma^2 X_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$
$$c_2 (NN^*)^\ell = U \begin{pmatrix} c_2 \Sigma^{2\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

Because $c_2 \neq 0$ and Σ is nonsingular, we deduce from $\mathbf{C}_3$ that $\Sigma^2 X_1$ is nonsingular and $(\Sigma^2 X_1)^{k_2 - 1}\Sigma^2 X_3 = 0$. Hence, $X_3 = 0$.

(iv) Straightforward calculation shows

$$XE_N = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_3 \\ 0 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

If XE_N is normal, then we get that X_4 is normal and $X_3 = 0$.

(v) Direct computation yields

$$(XE_N)^{k_3} = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_3 X_4^{k_3 - 1} \\ 0 & X_4^{k_3} \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

It follows from $\mathbf{C}_5$ that $X_4^{k_3} = c_3 I$ and $X_3 X_4^{k_3 - 1} = 0$. By $c_3 \neq 0$, we derive that X_4 is nonsingular. Hence, we obtain from $X_3 X_4^{k_3 - 1} = 0$ that $X_3 = 0$.

(vi) It is easy to compute that

$$NN^\dagger XE_N = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

Therefore, $NN^\dagger XE_N = 0$ if and only if $X_3 = 0$.

(vii) Direct calculation yields

$$(NN^*)^{k_4} XE_N = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma^{2k_4} X_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

Due to the fact that Σ is nonsingular, it follows that $(NN^*)^{k_4} XE_N = 0$ is equivalent to $X_3 = 0$.

Consequently, if one of the conditions $\mathbf{C}_1$–$\mathbf{C}_7$ holds, then X must be of the from

$$X = U \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ X_2 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

which completes the proof. □

Analogously, we can prove the following lemma. Its detailed proof is omitted due to limited space.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ and let $N \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ be the same as in Lemma 2.2. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

$\mathbf{C}_1'$: *YN^*N is normal*;
$\mathbf{C}_2'$: *For any $0 \neq c_1' \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $k_1' \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $(YN^*N)^{k_1'} = c_1' N^\dagger N$*;
$\mathbf{C}_3'$: *For any $0 \neq c_2' \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\ell' \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists $k_2' \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $(YN^*N)^{k_2'} = c_2'(N^*N)^{\ell'}$*;
$\mathbf{C}_4'$: *$F_N Y$ is normal*;
$\mathbf{C}_5'$: *For any $0 \neq c_3' \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $k_3' \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $(F_N Y)^{k_3'} = c_3' F_N$*;
$\mathbf{C}_6'$: *$F_N Y N^\dagger N = 0$*;
$\mathbf{C}_7'$: *There exists $k_4' \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $F_N Y (N^*N)^{k_4'} = 0$.*

Then Y must be of the form

$$Y = V \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y_3 \\ 0 & Y_4 \end{pmatrix} V^*,$$

where $Y_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$, $Y_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times(n-r)}$, and $Y_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-r)\times(n-r)}$.

Remark 2.4. Notice that Lemma 2.2 (resp., Lemma 2.3) does not need the non-singularity of X (resp., Y). In addition, the reader can give other conditions to ensure that $\mathbf{A}_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$ hold.

3. Main results

In order to prove our main result, we first consider explicit expressions for $(XN)^\dagger$ and $(NY)^\dagger$. The following theorem provides two applicable formulas for $M_1^\dagger$ and $M_2^\dagger$, where $M_1 = XN$ and $M_2 = NY$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $N \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$, $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, $M_1 = XN$, and $M_2 = NY$. Suppose that X and Y are nonsingular.*
(1) If the assumption $\mathbf{A}_1$ holds, then

$$M_1^\dagger = N^\dagger X^{-1} N N^\dagger (I + R^*R)^{-1}(I + R^*), \tag{3.1}$$

where $R = XE_N X^{-1}(E_N - I)$.
(2) If the assumption $\mathbf{A}_2$ holds, then

$$M_2^\dagger = (I + L^*)(I + LL^*)^{-1} N^\dagger N Y^{-1} N^\dagger,$$

where $L = (F_N - I)Y^{-1}F_N Y$.

Proof. (1) The assumption $\mathbf{A}_1$ reads $X = U \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ X_2 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} U^*$, where $X_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$ and r is the rank of N. It follows from the non-singularity of X that both $X_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$ and $X_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-r)\times(m-r)}$ are nonsingular. We define $R := XE_NX^{-1}(E_N - I)$. By simple computation, we can get

$$R = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ X_2X_1^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^* = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ G & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

where $G := X_2X_1^{-1}$. Because U and V are unitary matrices and

$$M_1 = XN = U \begin{pmatrix} X_1\Sigma & 0 \\ X_2\Sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^*,$$

we obtain

$$M_1^\dagger = V \begin{pmatrix} X_1\Sigma & 0 \\ X_2\Sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger U^*.$$

Note that $X_1\Sigma$ is nonsingular. Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1\Sigma & 0 \\ X_2\Sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Sigma^{-1}X_1^{-1}(I + G^*G)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I & G^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$M_1^\dagger = V \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Sigma^{-1}X_1^{-1}(I + G^*G)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I & G^* \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

Straightforward computation yields

$$N^\dagger X^{-1} = V \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Sigma^{-1}X_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

$$NN^\dagger(I + R^*R)^{-1}(I + R^*) = U \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (I + G^*G)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I & G^* \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

It can be easily seen that $M_1^\dagger = N^\dagger X^{-1}NN^\dagger(I + R^*R)^{-1}(I + R^*)$ holds.

(2) Applying the formula (3.1) to the matrix Y^*N^*, we obtain

$$(M_2^*)^\dagger = (N^*)^\dagger(Y^*)^{-1}N^*(N^*)^\dagger(I + \widehat{R}^*\widehat{R})^{-1}(I + \widehat{R}^*),$$

where

$$\widehat{R} = Y^*E_{N^*}(Y^*)^{-1}(E_{N^*} - I) = Y^*(F_N)^*(Y^{-1})^*(F_N - I)^*.$$

We define $L := (F_N - I)Y^{-1}F_NY$. Then,

$$(M_2^\dagger)^* = (M_2^*)^\dagger = (N^\dagger)^*(Y^{-1})^*N^*(N^\dagger)^*(I + LL^*)^{-1}(I + L).$$

Therefore, we drive that $M_2^\dagger = (I + L^*)(I + LL^*)^{-1}N^\dagger NY^{-1}N^\dagger$. □

Using Theorem 3.1, we can easily obtain the following expressions for the orthogonal projectors onto $\mathcal{R}(M_1)$ and $\mathcal{R}(M_2^*)$.

Corollary 3.2. *Under the same conditions as in Theorem 3.1.*
(1) If the assumption $\mathbf{A}_1$ *is valid, then*

$$M_1M_1^\dagger = (I+R)NN^\dagger(I+R^*R)^{-1}(I+R^*). \tag{3.2}$$

(2) If the assumption $\mathbf{A}_2$ *is valid, then*

$$M_2^\dagger M_2 = (I+L^*)(I+LL^*)^{-1}N^\dagger N(I+L). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. According to the equality (3.1), it follows that

$$M_1M_1^\dagger = XNN^\dagger X^{-1}NN^\dagger(I+R^*R)^{-1}(I+R^*). \tag{3.4}$$

Notice that

$$(I+R)NN^\dagger = \left(I - NN^\dagger + XNN^\dagger X^{-1}NN^\dagger\right)NN^\dagger = XNN^\dagger X^{-1}NN^\dagger. \tag{3.5}$$

Inserting (3.5) into (3.4) gives $M_1M_1^\dagger = (I+R)NN^\dagger(I+R^*R)^{-1}(I+R^*)$. Similarly, we can prove the equality (3.3). □

Based on the expressions (3.2) and (3.3) for orthogonal projectors $M_1M_1^\dagger$ and $M_2^\dagger M_2$, we can establish the following main result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $N \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$, $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, *and* $M = XNY$. *Assume that* X *and* Y *are nonsingular. If the assumptions* $\mathbf{A}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{A}_2$ *are satisfied, then*

$$M^\dagger = (I+L^*)(I+LL^*)^{-1}N^\dagger N(Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1})NN^\dagger(I+R^*R)^{-1}(I+R^*),$$

where $R = XE_NX^{-1}(E_N - I)$ *and* $L = (F_N - I)Y^{-1}F_NY$.

Proof. Note that $Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}$ is an inner inverse of M. Then we have

$$M^\dagger = M^\dagger M(Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1})MM^\dagger.$$

Let $M_1 = XN$ and $M_2 = NY$. We claim that $MM^\dagger = M_1M_1^\dagger$ and $M^\dagger M = M_2^\dagger M_2$. In fact, it is clear that $MM^\dagger$ is the orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{R}(M)$. Because Y is nonsingular and $M = M_1Y$, it follows that $\mathcal{R}(M) = \mathcal{R}(M_1)$. Hence, $MM^\dagger$ is also an orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{R}(M_1)$. Using the uniqueness of orthogonal projectors, we get that $MM^\dagger = M_1M_1^\dagger$. Similarly, we can verify that $M^\dagger M = M_2^\dagger M_2$. Therefore, we have

$$M^\dagger = M_2^\dagger M_2(Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1})M_1M_1^\dagger.$$

Under the assumptions of this theorem, by Corollary 3.2, we have

$$M^\dagger = (I + L^*)(I + LL^*)^{-1}N^\dagger N(I + L)Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}(I + R)NN^\dagger(I + R^*R)^{-1}(I + R^*).$$

Using $R = XE_NX^{-1}(E_N - I)$ and $L = (F_N - I)Y^{-1}F_NY$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(I + L)Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}(I + R) &= Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1} + Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}R + LY^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1} + LY^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}R \\ &= Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the facts that $N^\dagger E_N = 0$ and $F_NN^\dagger = 0$. Consequently, we infer that

$$M^\dagger = (I + L^*)(I + LL^*)^{-1}N^\dagger N(Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1})NN^\dagger(I + R^*R)^{-1}(I + R^*).$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.4. *Under the same conditions as in Theorem 3.3. If both XE_N and F_NY are Hermitian, then*

$$M^\dagger = (I + L^*)(I + LL^*)^{-1}Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}(I + R^*R)^{-1}(I + R^*). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Because XE_N and F_NY are Hermitian, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, the assumptions $\mathbf{A}_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$ are clearly satisfied. An application of Theorem 3.3 gives

$$M^\dagger = (I + L^*)(I + LL^*)^{-1}N^\dagger N(Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1})NN^\dagger(I + R^*R)^{-1}(I + R^*). \tag{3.7}$$

Due to both XE_N and F_NY are Hermitian, it follows that $XE_N = E_NX^*$ and $F_NY = Y^*F_N$. Then, $E_N(X^*)^{-1} = X^{-1}E_N$ and $(Y^*)^{-1}F_N = F_NY^{-1}$. Notice that

$$N^\dagger N(Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1})NN^\dagger = Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1} - F_NY^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1} - Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}E_N + F_NY^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}E_N.$$

Using $(Y^*)^{-1}F_N = F_NY^{-1}$ and $F_NN^\dagger = 0$, we can derive that $F_NY^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1} = 0$. By $E_N(X^*)^{-1} = X^{-1}E_N$ and $N^\dagger E_N = 0$, we have $Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}E_N = 0$. Consequently,

$$N^\dagger N(Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1})NN^\dagger = Y^{-1}N^\dagger X^{-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

By substituting (3.8) into (3.7), we obtain the formula (3.6). □

Remark 3.5. If $XE_N = E_N$ and $F_NY = F_N$, the conditions in Corollary 3.4 are obviously satisfied because E_N and F_N are orthogonal projectors. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}R &= XE_NX^{-1}(E_N - I) = E_N(X^{-1}E_N - X^{-1}) = E_N(E_N - X^{-1}) = E_N(I - X^{-1}) = R_0, \\ L &= (F_N - I)Y^{-1}F_NY = (F_NY^{-1} - Y^{-1})F_N = (F_N - Y^{-1})F_N = (I - Y^{-1})F_N = L_0,\end{aligned}$$

where R_0 and L_0 are defined as in expression (1.1). Therefore, Corollary 3.4 has extended the expression (1.1).

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Chen-Song Zhang for his helpful suggestions. This work was supported partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant 91430215 and 91530323.

References

[1] N. Castro-González, M. F. Martínez-Serrano, J. Robles, Expressions for the Moore–Penrose inverse of block matrices involving the Schur complement, Linear Algebra Appl. 471 (2015) 353–368.

[2] Y. Tian, The Moore–Penrose inverses of $m \times n$ block matrices and their applications, Linear Algebra Appl. 283 (1998) 35–60.

[3] B. Noble, A method for computing the generalized inverse of a matrix, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 3 (1966) 582–584.